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#### Abstract

The reaction of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}$ with KCN in methanol, that contains some water, gives the dinuclear oxo-bridged cyano complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2). The structure of 2 , as well as the structure of the known mononuclear complex $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ (1), was determined by X -ray crystallography. 1 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P 2_{1} / n$ with $Z=4$ and unit cell dimensions $a=7.879(2), b=12.235(3), c=12.078(3) \AA, \beta=107.58(3)^{\circ}$ and 2 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $C 2 / c$ with $Z=4$ and cell dimensions $a=13.354(11), b=8.112(5), c=18.017(19) \AA, \beta=92.52(10)^{\circ}$.
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## 1. Introduction

Whereas the pseudohalogenide complexes $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiX}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{X}^{-}=\mathrm{SCN}^{-}, \mathrm{OCN}^{-}$or $\mathrm{N}_{3}^{-}$can easily be prepared [1], the corresponding dicyano derivative $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ has not previously been prepared. The lack of electron density at the $\mathrm{d}^{\circ} \mathrm{Ti}^{\text {IV }}$ center precludes any possible stabilisation of the $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{CN}$ bonds via back-donation. The earliest attempt to prepare $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ was that of Pink in 1958 [2] who reacted $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}$ and KCN in chloroform/methanol. He observed that only one $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ could be replaced by $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$. Since then, several reports of the synthesis of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{X}$-type compounds have appeared. Some data for $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{Cl}$ are given in a patent [3]. Recently, Moran and Fernandez [4,5] reported the preparation of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{X}$ with $\mathrm{X}^{-}=\mathrm{Br}^{-}$, $\mathrm{I}^{-}, \mathrm{NCS}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{NCSe}^{-}$. These complexes were obtained by oxidative additions of the pseudohalogens XCN to $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$. Nesmeyanov et al. [6] found that the methanolate $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ forms in the reaction of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}$ with KCN in methanol.

Evidently, a $\pi$-donor ligand X in $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{X}$ induces a stabilisation of the $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{CN}$ bond through enhancement of the elctron density at titanium. We report

[^0]here the preparation of the dinuclear oxo-bridged complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}(2)$, its structure, and the X-ray structure of the known $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)(1)$.

## 2. Results and discussion

The reaction of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}$ and KCN in a methanol/ chloroform mixture proceeds with the formation of a yellow solutions of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ (1) within less than 1 h at room temperature [2]. This compound can also be prepared in boiling methanol [6]. Attempts to prepare an ethoxy or phenoxy analog of 1 in solutions of ethanol / chloroform or phenol / chloroform were unsuccessful. When this reaction is repeated in the presence of small amounts of water, the corresponding oxo-bridged complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2) is obtained (Eq. (1)). Depending on the relative amount of water, only crystals of $\mathbf{2}$ or mixtures of yellow crystals of $\mathbf{1}$ and orange crystals of 2 can be isolated.
$2 \mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+4 \mathrm{KCN}$


It is well known that oxo-bridged dinuclear complexes are formed in the reaction of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}$ with alkali-metal salts $\mathrm{MX}\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{K} ; \mathrm{X}^{-}=\mathrm{I}^{-}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}, \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}\right.$,


Fig. 1. Molecular structure and atom numbering scheme of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ (1).
$0.5 \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}^{2-}$ ) in aqueous solutions [7,8]. 2 cannot be prepared by this method, because first the high pH of an aqueous KCN solution leads to a cleavage of the $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cp}$ bonds [9] and second, even if an oxo-bridged species is formed, it would probably be of the following type with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ instead of $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$ligands at the Ti atoms [8]:


The IR spectrum of 2 shows a very strong absorption band at $741 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. This band is characteristic for titanium(IV) compounds with a $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{TiCp}_{2}$ unit [7]. It should be mentioned here that 2 has been proposed by Coutts and Wailes [10] to be the yellow product that forms during the decomposition of the $\mathrm{Ti}^{111}$ complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})\right]_{n}$ by air. Their conclusion was based upon the observation of " a strong band in the infrared around $720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ '.

The molecular structures of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. Crystallographic data are listed in Table 1. Distances and angles are listed in Table 2. The geometry of 2 is constrained by crystallographic $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetry. In Table 3 the essential structural parameters of both compounds are tabulated, together with those of related complexes. Comparison of the data indicates that the structures of the $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ unit in complexes with and without CN ligands are comparable. The $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{CN}$


Fig. 2. Molecular structure and atom numbering scheme of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2).

Table 1
Cystallographic data for $\mathbf{1}$ and 2

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NOTi}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OTi}_{2}$ |
| Fw | 235.12 | 424.17 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 21 / n$ | C2/c |
| $a(\AA)$ | 7.879(2) | 13.354(11) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 12.235(3) | 8.112(5) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 12.078(3) | 18.017(19) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 107.58(3) | 92.52(10) |
| Z | 4 | 4 |
| $D_{\text {obsd }}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.41 | 1.45 |
| $D_{\text {calcd }}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.407 | 1.445 |
| $\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 6.8 | 7.7 |
| Crystal dimensions (mm) | 0.4, 0.5, 0.8 | $0.5,0.5,0.5$ |
| Data collection and refinement |  |  |
| $h k l$ range | $\begin{aligned} & -9 /+9,0 /+15 \\ & 0 /+14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -14 /+14,0 /+8 \\ & 0 /+19 \end{aligned}$ |
| $2 \theta_{\text {max }}\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 50 | 45 |
| Unique reflections | 2166 | 1438 |
| Observed reflections $\left(F_{\mathrm{o}} \geqslant 2 \sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\right.$ | 1992 | 1302 |
| Parameters refined | 138 | 127 |
| $R$ | 0.040 | 0.043 |
| $R_{\mu}(F)$ | 0.048 | 0.053 |
| Residual density $\left(\mathrm{e}^{-3}\right)$ | 0.39 | 0.53 |

bonds of $2.164(3)$ and $2.162(3) \AA$ in $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 respectively are slightly longer than those in the titanium(III) complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})\right]_{4}(2.13 \AA)$, which contains bridging CN groups [15], and slightly shorter than the Ti-C $\sigma$ bonds in $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}(2.170(2)$ and $2.181(2) \AA)$ [16]. The Ti-C -N bond angles of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are almost linear (176.3(2) and $177.9(3)^{\circ}$ respectively) and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths of $1.150(4)$ and $1.144(5) \AA$ are typical for a CN triple bond. As in other dinuclear oxo-bridged titanium compounds, the $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ti}$ fragment in 2 is nearly linear. This indicates additional

Table 2
Selected distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ for 1 and $2^{\text {a }}$

| Atoms | 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Cp})$ | $2.356(3)-2.414(4)$ | $2.356(4)-2.423(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $2.164(3)$ | $2.162(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.835(2)$ | $1.840(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cp}(1)$ | 2.07 | 2.07 |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cp}(2)$ | 2.09 | 2.08 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.150(4)$ | $1.144(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.407(4)$ | - |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $91.5(1)$ | $93.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{Cp}(2)$ | 132.0 | 133.0 |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $176.3(2)$ | $177.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $140.1(2)$ | - |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | - | $174.3(2)$ |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{Cp}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Cp}(2)$ : centroids of the Cp rings containing $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$
and $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ respectively.
$\pi$-bonding of the oxygen atom to the two titanium centers [14,17]. As Fig. 2 shows, the two $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{O}$ units of a $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecule are rotated against each other around their $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}$ vectors. The rotation (measured by the $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Ti} 1^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 11^{\prime}$ dihedral angle) is $106.6(2)^{\circ}$.

The importance of $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O} \pi$-bonding in organotitanium(IV) alkoxides has been emphasized by Caulton and coworkers [12]. They proposed that the alkoxy ligand in $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OEt}) \mathrm{Cl}$ donates three electrons ( $\mathrm{Ti} \Longleftarrow \mathrm{OR}$ ) resulting in an effective 18 -electron configuration for the titanium atom. A comparison of the structural parameters of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OEt}) \mathrm{Cl}$ with those of related compounds such as $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}$ indicates that alkoxide exceeds chloride in $\pi$-donor ability. The structure determination of 1 provides an opportunity to evaluate the extent to which the $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cp}$ distances in $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OR}) \mathrm{X}$-type compounds are influenced by the presence of a $\pi$-acceptor $\left(\mathrm{CN}^{-}\right)$and $\pi$-donor $\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right)$ ligand respectively. As Table 3 shows, the $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cp}$ distances are the same for 1 and $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$. The $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}$ distances are different, however. For 1 the $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}$ distance is $0.020 \AA$ shorter than for $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$. Therefore, we conclude that the degree of $\pi$-donation of the alkoxy ligand in $\mathbf{1}$ is higher than in $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}-$ $\left(\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$. The corresponding relatively high electron density at the metal atom of $\mathbf{1}$ is suggested to be available for back-donation to the cyanide ligand and thus to be responsible for the existence and high stability of 1 .

## 3. Experimental details

Methanol was distilled from magnesium turnings. $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ was dried by refluxing over phosphorus(V) ox-
ide. $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}$ and KCN were stored over $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$. Mass spectra were recorded on a Varian MAT 711 spectrometer. IR spectra were performed on a Mattson Galaxy 2020 FTIR instrument, and UV-visible spectra on a Hitachi V-2000 spectrometer.

### 3.1. Preparation of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ (1)

The method is essentially that of Pink [2] with the exception that an inert gas atmosphere was used. To a stirred solution of $2.50 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at room temperature, 100 ml of absolute methanol and 2.50 g KCN ( 19 mmol ) were added. Within 1 h the color changed from red to yellow. The solvents were removed by distillation under reduced pressure. The solid residue was treated with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and the filtered solution was layered with $n$-pentane. Clear yellow crystals of 1 grew after some days in a refrigerator. The yield was $0.72 \mathrm{~g}(31 \%)$. Anal. Found: C, 61.22; H, 5.51 ; N, $5.81 \% \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ NOTi. Calc.: C, 61.30 ; H, 5.57; N, 5.96.

The crystals are stable for several days in air, soluble in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, THF and methanol, and almost insoluble in $n$-pentane. Heating causes decomposition above $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ without melting (m.p. according to Ref. [6]: 164.5-166 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

MS $m / z$ (\%): 235 (47.0) $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 220$ (5.6), 204 (6.0) $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCN}\right]^{+}, 178(9.6)\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}^{+}, 170(17.0), 144(100.0)\right.$ $\left[^{[\mathrm{CpTiOMe}}{ }^{+}, 129(36.0), 113(84.8)[\mathrm{CpTi}]^{+}, 86(11.0)\right.$, 74 (10.0), 65 (10.6), 64 (25.5), 48 (4.0), 39 (19.3), 31 (2.6).

IR (KBr-pellet, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3100(m), 3095(m), 2936(w), $2911(\mathrm{~m}), \quad 2868(\mathrm{~m}), \quad 2124(\mathrm{~m}), \quad 1455(\mathrm{~m}), \quad 1439(\mathrm{~m})$, 1422(m), 1366(m), 1088(s), 1065(s), 1030(m), 855(m), 822(s), 544(s), 428(s).

UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ : maxima at 256 and 388 .

Table 3
Selected distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ in 1,2 , and related $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiXY}$ compounds

| Compound | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{CN}$ | Ti-Cp | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{OR} \text { or } \\ & \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{OTi} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Y}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C} \text { or } \\ & \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ti} \end{aligned}$ | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mononuclear compounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1}$ | $2.164(2)$ | 2.07 | 1.835(2) | 132.0 | 91.5(2) | 140.1(2) |  |
|  |  | 2.09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}$ | - | 2.06 | - | 130.9 | 94.5 | - | [11] |
| $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ | - | 2.08 | 1.855(2) | 130.5 | 93.1(1) | 133.2(2) | [12] |
|  |  | 2.09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oxo-bridged compounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})\right]_{2} \mathrm{O} 2$ | $2.162(3)$ | 2.07 | 1.840 (2) | 133.0 | 93.7(1) | 174.3(1) |  |
|  |  | 2.08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | - | 2.07 | 1.841(5) | 131.4 | 87.9 | 171.8(3) | [13] |
|  |  | 2.08 | $1.829(5)$ | 131.8 | 88.9 |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | - | 2.11 | $1.840(3)$ | 127.3 | 91.2(2) | 173.7(2) | [14] |
|  |  | 2.12 | 1.838(3) | 129.3 | 91.2(2) |  |  |

$\overline{\mathrm{Cp}, \mathrm{Cp}^{\prime} \text { centroids of cyclopentadienyl rings. }}$

Table 4
Atomic parameters for 1

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(1)$ | $0.30504(5)$ | $0.22312(3)$ | $0.47491(3)$ | $0.030(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $0.0078(4)$ | $0.2708(2)$ | $0.4688(4)$ | $0.066(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $0.1234(5)$ | $0.3226(3)$ | $0.5644(3)$ | $0.066(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $0.2241(4)$ | $0.3971(2)$ | $0.5257(3)$ | $0.063(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $0.1676(4)$ | $0.3949(2)$ | $0.4046(3)$ | $0.065(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $0.0362(4)$ | $0.3160(3)$ | $0.3683(3)$ | $0.068(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $0.6212(4)$ | $0.2058(4)$ | $0.5570(3)$ | $0.081(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $0.5842(4)$ | $0.3121(3)$ | $0.5191(3)$ | $0.068(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $0.5213(4)$ | $0.3107(3)$ | $0.4004(3)$ | $0.061(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $0.5126(4)$ | $0.2026(3)$ | $0.3646(3)$ | $0.070(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $0.5741(4)$ | $0.1391(2)$ | $0.4642(4)$ | $0.079(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $0.1761(3)$ | $0.1095(2)$ | $0.3381(2)$ | $0.041(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $0.1953(3)$ | $0.0705(2)$ | $0.6411(2)$ | $0.054(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $0.1118(3)$ | $0.0446(2)$ | $0.2693(2)$ | $0.058(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $0.3100(2)$ | $0.1208(1)$ | $0.5870(1)$ | $0.041(1)$ |

### 3.2. Preparation of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CN})\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2)

The reaction steps of Section 3.1 were repeated. The reaction was carried out in methanol containing $2 \%$ water, however, and the reaction was conducted in air. Orange crystals of 2 were obtained after layering the $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ filtrate with $n$-pentane. Yield $0.96 \mathrm{~g}(46 \%)$. Anal. Found: C, 61.94; H, 4.72; N, 6.52\%. $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20^{-}}$ $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{OTi}_{2}$. Calc.: C, $62.30 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.75 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.60$. The crystals are stable for days in air, slightly soluble in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, THF and methanol, and almost insoluble in $n$-pentane. Heating causes decomposition above $170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ without melting.

MS $m / z$ (\%): 612 (5.8), 408 (7.8), 382 (9.6), 359 (44.0), 333 (2.4), 294 (8.7), 268 (3.5), 204 (17.4), 178 (100) $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}^{+}, 139\right.$ (7.1), 113 (17.6), 66 (21.6), 65 (24.2), 39 (25.5), 27 (37.1).

IR (KBr-pellet, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3096(s), 2124(m), 1439(s), 1364(m), 1022(s), 872(s), 839(s), 816(s), 741(vs), 600(m), 434(s).

UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ : maxima at 391 and 434.

Table 5
Atomic parameters for 2

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(1)$ | $0.55320(3)$ | $0.32271(6)$ | $0.15763(2)$ | $0.027(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $0.6350(3)$ | $0.5804(4)$ | $0.1293(2)$ | $0.059(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $0.6922(4)$ | $0.4493(9)$ | $0.1014(3)$ | $0.067(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $0.7291(2)$ | $0.3562(5)$ | $0.1629(2)$ | $0.053(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $0.6941(2)$ | $0.4295(4)$ | $0.2264(2)$ | $0.048(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $0.6360(3)$ | $0.5650(4)$ | $0.2057(2)$ | $0.053(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $0.4487(3)$ | $0.1543(6)$ | $0.0759(3)$ | $0.079(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $0.5432(4)$ | $0.1688(4)$ | $0.0446(2)$ | $0.077(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $0.6095(3)$ | $0.0860(5)$ | $0.0944(3)$ | $0.075(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $0.5550(4)$ | $0.0324(5)$ | $0.1523(2)$ | $0.071(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $0.4606(4)$ | $0.0719(6)$ | $0.1403(3)$ | $0.077(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $0.4356(2)$ | $0.4818(4)$ | $0.1128(2)$ | $0.044(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $0.3714(2)$ | $0.5638(4)$ | $0.0905(2)$ | $0.068(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 0.5 | $0.3115(3)$ | 0.25 | $0.030(2)$ |

### 3.3. X-ray analyses of 1 and 2

Crystals were mounted on glass fibers. The X-ray measurements were carried out at room temperature on a Philips PW1100 diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71069 \AA)$. The structures were solved by the Patterson method and refined via standard least-squares and Fourier techniques [18]. Crystal data, data collection parameters and refinement details are listed in Table 1. Hydrogen atoms were included for the $F_{\mathrm{c}}$ calculations at their calculated positions. Atomic coordinates are given in Tables 4 and 5 [19].
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